TOP SECRET//TSP/SI	/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN
STUART F. DELERY	/ IIOO// OKOOF / IIIOO
1 Acting Assistant Attorney General	
TOCEDILLI LILINE	
Director, Federal Programs Branch	
VINCENT M. GARVEY	
Deputy Branch Director	
ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO	
5 Special Litigation Counsel	
MARCIA BERMAN 6 Senior Trial Counsel	
Schol Thai Counsel	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7 U.S. Department of Justice	
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch	
8 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW	
Washington, D.C. 20001	
Filode. (202) 314-4782	
Fax: (202) 616-8460	
Attorneys for the United States and	
Government Defendants Sued in their	
Official Capacities	
[]	
3 UNITED STATES DIS	•
 NORTHERN DISTRICT 	
SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION
CAROL VN IEWEL -4 -1	
CAROLYN JEWEL, et al.) No. 08-cv-4873-JSW
5)
Plaintiffs,) CLASSIFIED DECLARATION
7) OF JAMES R. CLAPPER
3 _) DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL
' [[v.) INTELLIGENCE
9)
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, <u>et al</u> .) EX PARTE, IN CAMERA
)) SUBMISSION
Defendants.)
	_)
2	Date: November 2, 2012
_	Time: 9:00 a.m.
3	Courtroom: 11 - 19 th Floor
	Judge Jeffrey S. White
1	
5	
I, James R. Clapper, do hereby state and dec	clare as follows:
5 .	· ·
TEX INTRODI	UCTION
	,
8 1. (U) I am the Director of National Int	telligence (DNI) of the United States. I have
	İ
TOP SECRET//TSP/SI	/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN
Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Dir	rector of National Intelligence
Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)	
	1

TOP SECRET//TSP/SIheld this position since August 9, 2010. In my capacity as the DNI, I oversee the United States
Intelligence Community and serve as the principal intelligence adviser to the President. Prior to
serving as the DNI, I served as the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency from 1992 to
1995, the Director of the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency from 2001 to 2006, and the
Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence from 2007 to 2010, where I served as the principal
staff assistant and advisor to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense on intelligence,
counterintelligence, and security matters for the Department of Defense. In my capacity as the
Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, I simultaneously served as the Director of Defense
Intelligence for the DNI.

2. (b) The purpose of this declaration is to formally assert, in my capacity as the Director of National Intelligence and head of the United States Intelligence Community, the state secrets privilege and a statutory privilege under the National Security Act, see 50 U.S.C. § 403-1(i)(1), in order to protect intelligence sources and methods that are at risk of disclosure in the above-captioned case as well as in Shubert v. Obama (07-cv-00693) (M: 06-cv-1791). The statements made herein are based on my personal knowledge as well as on information provided to me in my official capacity as the Director of National Intelligence.

(U) SUMMARY

3. (B) In the course of my official duties, I have been advised of this lawsuit and the allegations at issue in the plaintiffs' complaints in the *Jewel* and *Shubert* actions. In personally considering this matter, I have executed a separate unclassified declaration dated September 12, 2012. Moreover, I have read and personally considered the information contained in the Public and the *In Camera, Ex Parte* Declaration of Frances J. Fleisch, National Security Agency (NSA), executed on September 11, 2012 (hereafter "Classified NSA Declaration"). Disclosure of the

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

TOP SECRET//TSP/S

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

TOP SECRET//TSP/Silent Market
conducted pursuant to Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court ("FISC") orders, or other
authority, and that enable the NSA to uncover the contacts
; and (3)
. This lawsuit therefore puts at risk of disclosure
information concerning essential foreign intelligence-gathering activities utilized to meet the
extremely serious threat of another terrorist attack on the U.S. Homeland, a threat which I
describe further below.
6. (TS//TSP/SI-T
asserting the state secrets privilege and the DNI's authority to protect intelligence sources and
methods pursuant to 50 U.S.C. § 403-1(i)(1) to protect against the disclosure of the highly
classified intelligence sources and methods put at issue in this case and vital to the national
security of the United States, including: (1) any information that would tend to confirm or deny
whether particular individuals, including the named plaintiffs, have been subject to the alleged
NSA intelligence activities; (2) information concerning NSA intelligence sources and methods,
including facts demonstrating that the content collection under the TSP was limited to specific
al-Qa'ida and associated terrorist-related international communications and that the NSA did not
and does not otherwise conduct a dragnet of content surveillance as plaintiffs allege; (3) facts
that would tend to confirm or deny other intelligence activities authorized by the President after
9/11 and later transitioned to the authority of the FISA – that is, the existence of the NSA's bulk
non-content (i.e., meta data) collection, and any information about those activities; and (4)
non-content (i.e., meta data) conection, and any information about mose activities; and (4)
TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

I specifically concur with the NSA that public speculation about

6

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

> 22 23

24

25 26

27

28

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

disclosure.

TEL CLASSIFICATION OF DECLARATION

alleged NSA activities does not diminish the need to protect intelligence sources and methods

from further exposure, and that official confirmation and disclosure of the classified privileged

national security information described herein would cause exceptionally grave damage to the

national security. For these reasons, as set forth further below, I request that the Court uphold

the state secrets and statutory privilege assertions that I make herein, as well as the statutory

see 50 U.S.C. § 402 (note), and protect the information described in this declaration from

privilege assertion made by the NSA pursuant to Section 6 of the National Security Agency Act,

7. (S//SI//NF) Pursuant to the standards in Executive Order 13526, this declaration is classified as: TOP SECRET//TSP/SI- //HCS//ORCON/NOFORN. The details concerning these classification markings are set forth in the Classified NSA Declaration at ¶¶ 12-15 and are briefly summarized here. Under Executive Order 13526, information is classified "TOP SECRET" if unauthorized disclosure of the information reasonably could be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security of the United States; "SECRET" if unauthorized disclosure of the information reasonably could be expected to cause serious damage to national security; and "CONFIDENTIAL" if unauthorized disclosure of the information reasonably could be expected to cause identifiable damage to national security. At the beginning of each paragraph of this declaration, the letters "U," "C," "S," and "TS" indicate respectively that the information is either UNCLASSIFIED, or is classified CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, or TOP SECRET.

//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN TOP SECRET//TSP/SI (S//SI//NF) Additionally, this declaration also contains Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI), which is subject to special access and handling requirements because it 2 3 involves or derives from particularly sensitive intelligence sources and methods. This declaration references communications intelligence, also referred to as special intelligence (SI), 5 which is a subcategory of SCI that identifies information that was derived from exploiting 6 cryptographic systems or other protected sources by applying methods or techniques, or from 7 intercepted foreign communications. This declaration also references human intelligence (HCS), 8 another subcategory of SCI that identifies information derived from individuals who provide intelligence information. 11 9. **//OC/NF)** This declaration also contains information (TS//TSP/SI-15 about the Terrorist Surveillance Program (TSP), a controlled access signals intelligence program 16 under presidential authorization in response to the attacks of September 11, 2001. Information pertaining to this program is denoted with the special marking "TSP." 18 19

(S//SI//NF) Finally, information labeled "NOFORN" may not be released to foreign governments, foreign nationals, or non-U.S. citizens without permission of the originator and in accordance with DNI policy. The "ORCON" designator means that the originator of the information controls to whom it is released.

TOP SECRET//TSP/S

17

20 21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN-

(U) BACKGROUND ON DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004, Pub. L. 108-458, §§ 1011(a) and 1097, 118 Stat. 3638, 3643-63, 3698-99 (2004) (amending sections 102 through 104 of Title I of the National Security Act of 1947). Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the President, the Director of National Intelligence serves as the head of the U.S. Intelligence Community and as the principal adviser to the President, the National Security Council, and the Homeland Security Council for intelligence matters related to the national security. *See* 50 U.S.C. § 403(b)(1), (2).

2

Director of National Intelligence; the Central Intelligence Agency; the National Security

Agency; the Defense Intelligence Agency; the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency; the

National Reconnaissance Office; other offices within the Department of Defense for the

collection of specialized national intelligence through reconnaissance programs; the intelligence
elements of the military services, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of the

Treasury, the Department of Energy, the Drug Enforcement Administration, and the Coast

Guard; the Bureau of Intelligence and Research of the Department of State; the elements of the

Department of Homeland Security concerned with the analysis of intelligence information; and
such other elements of any other department or agency as may be designated by the President, or
jointly designated by the DNI and heads of the department or agency concerned, as an element of
the Intelligence Community. See 50 U.S.C. § 401a(4).

(U) The responsibilities and authorities of the Director of National Intelligence

are set forth in the National Security Act of 1947, as amended. See 50 U.S.C. § 403-1. These

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

responsibilities include ensuring that national intelligence is provided to the President, the heads of the departments and agencies of the Executive Branch, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and senior military commanders, and the Senate and House of Representatives and committees thereof. See 50 U.S.C. § 403-1(a)(1). The DNI is also charged with establishing the objectives of, determining the requirements and priorities for, and managing and directing the tasking, collection, analysis, production, and dissemination of national intelligence by elements of the Intelligence Community. Id. § 403-1(f)(1)(A)(i) and (ii). The DNI is also responsible for developing and determining, based on proposals submitted by the heads of agencies and departments within the Intelligence Community, an annual consolidated budget for the National Intelligence Program for presentation to the President, for ensuring the effective execution of the annual budget for intelligence and intelligence-related activities, and for managing and allotting

14. (B) In addition, the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, provides that "[t]he Director of National Intelligence shall protect intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure." 50 U.S.C. § 403-1(i)(1). Consistent with this responsibility, the DNI establishes and implements guidelines for the Intelligence Community for the classification of information under applicable law, Executive orders, or other Presidential directives and access to and dissemination of intelligence. *Id.* § 403-1(i)(2)(A), (B). In particular, the DNI is responsible for the establishment of uniform standards and procedures for the grant of access to Sensitive Compartmented Information ("SCP") to any officer or employee of any agency or department of the United States, and for ensuring the consistent implementation of those standards throughout such departments and agencies. *Id.* § 403-1(j)(1), (2).

appropriations for the National Intelligence Program. Id. § 403-1(c)(1)-(5).

15. (U) By virtue of my position as the Director of National Intelligence, and unless

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

WHCS/ORCON/NOFORN

Ŷ

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN otherwise directed by the President, I have access to all intelligence related to the national 1 security that is collected by any department, agency, or other entity of the United States. See 50 2 3 U.S.C. § 403-1(b); Executive Order 12333 § 1.3(a), as amended. Pursuant to Executive Order 13526, the President has authorized me to exercise original TOP SECRET classification 5 authority. 6 (b) ASSERTION OF STATE SECRETS PRIVILEGE 16. (V) After careful and actual personal consideration of the matter, based upon my 8 own knowledge and information obtained in the course of my official duties, including the 10 information contained in the Public and Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of Frances J. 11 Fleisch, National Security Agency, I have determined that the disclosure of certain 12 information—as set forth herein and described in more detail in the Classified NSA 13 14 Declaration—would cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security of the United 15 States and, therefore, must be protected from disclosure and excluded from this case. Thus, as to 16 this information, I formally assert the state secrets privilege. In addition, it is my judgment that 17 sensitive state secrets concerning NSA's sources, methods and activities are so central to the 18 subject matter of the litigation that any attempt to proceed in the case will substantially risk the 19 20 disclosure of the privileged information described herein and in more detail in the classified 21 declarations, and will therefore risk exceptionally grave damage to the national security of the 22 United States. 23 24 (KL) ASSERTION OF STATUTORY PRIVILEGE UNDER NATIONAL SECURITY ACT 25 17. (D) Through this declaration, I also hereby invoke and assert a statutory privilege 26 held by the Director of National Intelligence under the National Security Act to protect the 27 28 information described herein, see 50 U.S.C. § 403-1(i)(l). My assertion of this statutory 9 TOP SECRET//TSP/SI /HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence

Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

assertion. (B) INFORMATION SUBJECT TO ASSERTIONS OF PRIVILEGE 18. (W) In general and unclassified terms, the following categories of information concerning the specific nature of the terrorist threat posed by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates and other threats to the United States; and B. (W) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (W) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (B) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as AT&T and Verizon communication transactional records as	ivilege
18. (W) In general and unclassified terms, the following categories of information concerning the specific nature of the terrorist threat posed by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates and other threats to the United States; and B. (W) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (W) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (W) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (N) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
18. (R) In general and unclassified terms, the following categories of info are subject to my state secrets and statutory privilege assertions: A. (L) Information concerning the specific nature of the terrorist threat posed by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates and other threats to the United States; and B. (R) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (R) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al- Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (R) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
are subject to my state secrets and statutory privilege assertions: A. (U) Information concerning the specific nature of the terrorist threat posed by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates and other threats to the United States; and B. (U) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (U) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (B) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	ormatic
A. (U) Information concerning the specific nature of the terrorist threat posed by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates and other threats to the United States; and B. (U) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (U) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (B) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
terrorist threat posed by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates and other threats to the United States; and B. (W) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (W) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (B) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
other threats to the United States; and B. (W) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (W) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (S) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (N) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
B. (U) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (U) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (I) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
the plaintiffs have been subject to any alleged NSA intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (U) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (II) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
intelligence activity that may be at issue in this matter; and C. (U) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al- Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (E) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
C. (W) Any information concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (V) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (b) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
necessary to adjudicate plaintiffs' allegations, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (1) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (b) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (b) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al- Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
telecommunications carriers such as AT&T and Verizon, indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (I) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
indiscriminately intercepts the content of communications and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
and also collects the communication records of millions of Americans as part of an alleged "Program" authorized by the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
the President after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
39-97; Shubert SAC ¶¶ 1-9; 57-58; 62-91. (U) The scope of this assertion includes but is not limited to: (i) (B) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
(i) (b) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
(i) (b) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
(i) (b) Information concerning the scope and operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
operation of the now inoperative "Terrorist Surveillance Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al- Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
Program" ("TSP") regarding the interception of the content of certain one-end international communications reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
reasonably believed to involve a member or agent of al- Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and any other information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
information related to demonstrating that the NSA does not otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
otherwise engage in the content surveillance dragnet that the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
the plaintiffs allege; and (ii) (b) Information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as	
AT&T and Verizon communication transactional records as	
Title 1 and 1 cited to minument on transactional records as	
alleged in the Complaint; see, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶¶ 10; 82-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 102; and	

(iii) (U) Information that may tend to confirm or deny whether AT&T or Verizon (and to the extent relevant

or necessary, any other telecommunications carrier),

76% DESCRIPTION OF INFORMATION SUBJECT TO PRIVILEGE AND HARM OF

provided assistance to the NSA in connection with any alleged activity, see, e.g., Jewel Complaint ¶¶ 2, 7-8, 10,

DISCLOSURE

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

A. (b) Information Concerning the al-Qa'ida Terrorist Threat

13, 50-97; Shubert SAC ¶¶ 6, 10-13; 66-68.

lawsuit, and put at risk of disclosure in further proceedings, must be viewed and understood in the context of the threat faced by the United States. In unclassified terms, more than a decade after the September 11th attacks, we remain in a global conflict with al-Qa'ida and we face an evolving threat from its affiliates and adherents. America's campaign against terrorism did not end with the mission at Bin Ladin's compound. Indeed, the threats we face have become more diverse. As al-Qa'ida's core leadership struggles to remain relevant, the group has turned to its affiliates and adherents to carry out attacks and to advance its ideology. These groups are from an array of countries, including Yemen, Somalia, Nigeria, and Iraq. To varying degrees, these groups coordinate their activities and follow the direction of al-Qa'ida leaders in Pakistan. Many of the extremist groups themselves are multidimensional, blurring the lines between terrorist groups, insurgency, and criminal gangs.

20. (N) For example, al-Qa'ida in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP) remains of particular concern to the United States. The National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC) assesses that this is the most likely entity to attempt attacks in the west. Even in the wake of Anwar al-Aulaqi's death, this group maintains the intent and capability to conduct anti-US attacks with little to no warning. In its three attempted attacks against the US Homeland -- the airliner plot of

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

become more involved in AQI activities.

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

23. (U) AQI's propaganda statements have cited its support for uprisings against secular governments in the Middle East and North Africa, and in a June statement, the group expressed solidarity with the Syrian Sunni population. In January 2011, it published an explosives training video that called for lone wolf attacks in the West and against so-called apostate regimes in the Middle East.

- 24. (U) During the past two years, American and Canadian authorities have arrested several North America-based AQI associates, highlighting the potential threat posed to the United States. In May 2011, the FBI arrested Kentucky-based Iraqi nationals Waad Alwan and Shareef Hamadi for attempting to send weapons and explosives from Kentucky to Iraq and conspiring to commit terrorism while in Iraq. Alwan pled guilty to supporting terrorism in December. In January 2010, Canadian authorities arrested dual Iraqi-Canadian citizen Faruq 'Isa who is accused of vetting individuals on the internet for suicide operations in Iraq.
- 25. (N) We continue to monitor al-Shabaab and its foreign fighter cadre as a potential threat to the US Homeland, although the group is mainly focused on combating the ongoing Kenyan and Ethiopian incursions into Somalia which have eroded its territorial safehaven since late last year. The group, which formally merged with al-Qa'ida in February 2012, also remains intent on conducting attacks against regional and Western targets in East Africa, especially in countries supporting the Transitional Federal Government (TFG) and allied forces in Somalia. Probable al-Shabaab sympathizers recently conducted several low-level attacks in Kenya. Al-Shabaab leaders in the past have publicly called for transnational attacks, including threatening to avenge the January 2012 death of British national and al-Shabaab senior foreign fighter Bilal Berjawi.
 - 26. (N) Al-Qa'ida in the Lands of the Islamic Maghreb (AQIM) and Boko Haram

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence

-(S//OC/NF) The NCTC's current classified threat assessment underscores the

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

14

1

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

threat information is described further below.

Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-HCS//ORCON/NOFORN continuing threat posed by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates. While NCTC assess that "core" al-Qa'ida is probably currently unable to carry out complex, coordinated, large-scale attacks in the West, 2 3 the terrorist threat to the United States has diversified to include groups affiliated or allied with al-Qa'ida. For example, the NCTC assesses that Pakistan-based al-Qa'ida remains intent on 5 conducting terrorist attacks inside the United States. Although the group's operational 6 capabilities have been severely degraded by leadership losses and setbacks in recent years, the threat has not been eliminated. NCTC assesses that these terrorist adversaries remain determined 8 to strike, including via smaller and simpler plots that may be more difficult to detect. Preventing attacks remains the Intelligence Community's highest priority. In this evolving threat landscape, the Intelligence Community continues to work together to disrupt terrorist plots against the US at 12 home and overseas, to significantly degrade al-Qa'ida through relentless counterterrorism (CT) pressure in key global safe havens, and to share key information with domestic and international 15 partners. (1) (S//NF) Counterterrorism Successes Against al-Qa'ida 17 (SHNE) Major counterterrorism successes and momentous global events in recent years have altered the terrorist threat landscape in a way that lessens the direct threat of a largescale, operationally complex, mass-casualty attack against the U.S. Homeland in the near-term. 22 26 31. (S//NE) The deaths of Pakistan-based al-Qa'ida leader Usama Bin Ladin and

TOP SECRET//TSP/S

7

10

11

13

14

16

18

19 20

21

23 24 25

27

28

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

1	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI- HELD HELD HELD HELD HELD HELD HELD HELD
2	terrorist threat against the Homeland. These two leaders provided strategic oversight and
3	operational guidance for the majority of the most notable large-scale attacks and attempted
4	attacks against the United States since 2001. Additionally, they were responsible for providing
5	inspiration to a global audience of al-Qa'ida members, allies, and adherents about the necessity
7	of attacking the "far" enemy in order to achieve the longstanding goal of the global jihad. In the
8	
9	
0	
1	
3	
8	33. (S//NF) Al-Qa'ida has not conducted a successful attack in the West since 2005,
	TOP SECRET//TSP/S

	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI AND ADDRESS /HCS//ORCON/NOFORN and last trained and deployed operatives to the United States for attacks in 2009.
	and jast trained and deproyed operatives to the officed states for attacks in 2009.
	(2) (S//NF) The Continuing Threat of al-Qa'ida and its Global Affiliates.
	34. (TS//HCS/ 4OC/NF) Notwithstanding the successes described above, al-
	Qa'ida and its global affiliates continue to pose a threat to the Nation's security. Pakistan-based
	al-Qa'ida continues to demonstrate some intent to conduct attacks against the US. Intelligence
	reporting indicates that al-Qa'ida leader Ayman al-Zawahiri is maintaining Bin Ladin's desire to
	strike the United States, and looking to leverage the group's affiliates as a means to overcome
1	their own operational constraints. NCTC assesses that the group almost certainly would attempt
1	to attack the United States if resources, including viable operatives, were available. Zawahiri
ı	
ļ	
ı	
I	
ļ	Al-Qa'ida's prospects for rebounding from its weakened state are low and depend on its
	ability to protect its Pakistan-based cadre and global influence, while addressing deficiencies in
	leadership and operational capabilities. Despite its shrinking leadership cadre, persistent unrest
	in places such as Yemen, Libya, Syria, and Egypt, and the impending withdrawal of U.S. forces
	from Afghanistan, may provide core al-Qa'ida an opportunity to al-Qa'ida a propaganda
	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

pportunity	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI- White to claim victories over the US and reinvigorate its image as the leader of the global
novement.	
35.	-(TS//HCS)
36.	(S/ANF) In addition, against this backdrop of a weakened core al-Qa'ida, NCTC
	t in the coming years the U.S. will be facing a more interdependent and diverse
	eat than we have experienced to date, which will likely be more difficult to detect.
an expande	d set of terrorist adversaries -
	in recent years have carried on al-Qa'ida's mantle and attempted to strike in and
gainst the	U.S. Homeland. Al-Qa'ida's affiliate groups are likely to remain committed to al-
Qaʻida's ide	sology and to seek opportunities to strike US interests in their operating areas or in
he West. T	he intent and capability of each affiliate to conduct transnational attacks varies
videly, how	vever, in large part because of their focus on achieving local and regional goals.
lowever, ir	acreasing collaboration between al-Qa'ida's affiliates will further shift the focal point
f the globa	l jihad away from South Asia, in particular as the groups share expertise, advice and
nspiration i	n ways that improve their attack capabilities and/or understanding of our
ounterterro	orism capabilities and tactics.
37.	(TS//HCS/ QC/NF) AQAP continues its efforts to conduct attacks against in the
	n the West. AQAP remains committed to its regional agenda
- O WING 1	
	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI 18

1	TOP SECRET//TSP/S	//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN
target t	s in neighboring Gulf States	AQAP is bolstering its ability to cs, identifying potential targets, and seeking t.
against	38. <u>(TS//HCS</u> //OC/NF) AQAP at diplomatic officials in Sana	
7 8 8 9 0 0 11 2 2		
23	39. — (TS//HCf // OC/NF)	
	TOP SECRET//TSP/S	//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN 19

	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-	
	·	
		ļ
	(3) (S//NF) Al-Qa'ida's Weapons and Tactics	
42.	(S/NE) The continuing al-Qa'ida threat can also be seen in the type of weapons	
=	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI /HCS//ORCON/NOFORN 20	

	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI
1 2	and tactics it may employ.
3	In its propaganda al-Qa'ida has encouraged "lone-wolf"
5	extremists to conduct Homeland small arms attacks because firearms are easy to acquire and use
6	effectively in the US, an assertion borne out by a number of homegrown violent extremists'
7 8	small-arms attacks and disrupted plots over the past 3 years.
9	
11	Al-Qa'ida-inspired extremists will
12	attempt to exploit emerging consumer technologies for building, concealing and triggering IEDs,
14	and leverage online resources to provide the know-how for new attack methods
16	
17	43. (S//NF)
	TOP SECRET//TSP/S // WHCS//ORCOM/MOFORM 21

		<u> </u>
	(4) (S//NF)	
	44. —(TS//HCS//OC//NF)	
	45 ~C(/A)TO	
	45. (SANF)	
	TOP SECRET//TSP/S	//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN 2
Classifie	ed In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clappe al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW	er, Director of National Intelligence

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI	#HCS#ORCON/NOFORN
46. (b) In sum, a variety of entities cont	invo to pose a significant throat to the
nation's security. The Government is utilizing all la	•
including those set forth in the Classified NSA Decl	
the American people. I set forth this information no	-
information necessary to understand why the intellig	
issue in this case are being undertaken, but also to a	
threat information. The Government cannot disclos	
plaintiffs' allegations or other issues in this case, or	
privilege, because to do so would disclose to our ad-	versaries what we know of their plans and
how we may be obtaining information about them.	Such disclosures would lead our adversaries
TOP SECRET//TSP/SI	/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN 23

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN not only to alter their plans, but also to implement greater security for their communications, thereby increasing the risk of non-detection. In addition, disclosure of threat information might 2 3 reveal human sources for the United States, compromise those sources, and put lives in danger. 4 Accordingly, although I believe that classified threat information is crucial to understanding the 5 importance to our national security of the NSA intelligence activities, sources, and methods 6 implicated by the plaintiffs' allegations, I must assert the state secrets privilege and the DNI's 7 statutory privilege over this classified threat information because of the exceptionally grave 8 9 danger to national security that could reasonably be expected to result from its disclosure. 10 TEN Information That May Tend to Confirm or Deny Whether the Plaintiffs В. 11 Have Been Subject to the Alleged NSA Intelligence Activities. 12 (b) Next, I am also asserting privilege over information that would reveal 47. 13 whether particular individuals, including the named plaintiffs in this lawsuit, have been subject 14 15 to the alleged NSA intelligence activities. Disclosure of such information would cause 16 exceptionally grave damage to the national security. 17 (TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 . Accordingly, I assert the state secrets and DNI statutory 27 privilege as to this information. 28 24 TOP SECRET//TSP/S /HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

H

HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

is subject to surveillance activities. If the NSA were to reveal that an individual is the target of surveillance, the collection capability relating to that individual would certainly be compromised. On the other hand, if the NSA were to reveal that an individual is not the target of surveillance, adversaries would know that a particular individual has avoided surveillance and is a secure source for communicating. Moreover, providing assurances to those individuals who are not being targeted quickly becomes unworkable when faced with a case in which an individual has in fact been targeted. If the NSA were to confirm that any specific individual is not the target of surveillance, but later refuse to confirm or deny that information in a case involving an actual target, it would be apparent that surveillance was occurring in the latter case. The only recourse for the NSA is to neither confirm nor deny whether someone has been targeted or subject to NSA activities, regardless of whether the individual has been targeted or not. To say otherwise when challenged in litigation would result in the frequent, routine exposure of NSA information, sources, and methods, and would severely undermine surveillance activities in general.

- C. (U) Information Concerning NSA Activities, Sources, or Methods.
- 50. (H) Furthermore, I am asserting privilege over any other facts concerning NSA intelligence activities, sources, or methods that may relate to or be necessary to adjudicate the plaintiffs' claims, including allegations that the NSA, with the assistance of telecommunications companies including AT&T and Verizon, has indiscriminately intercepted the content and obtained the communications records of millions of ordinary Americans as part of an alleged presidentially authorized "Program" after 9/11. See, e.g., Jewel Comp. ¶ 2-13; 39-97; Shubert SAC ¶ 1-9; 62-91 As noted above, my privilege assertion encompasses (1) facts concerning the operation of the now-defunct Terrorist Surveillance Program, including any facts needed to

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

collection of the content of communications under the TSP was directed at international communications in which a participant was reasonably believed to be associated with al-Qa'ida or an affiliated organization. Thus, as the Government has previously stated, plaintiffs' allegation that the NSA has indiscriminately collected the content of millions of communications sent or received by people inside the United States after 9/11 under the TSP is false. I concur with the NSA that to the extent it must demonstrate in this case that the TSP was not the content dragnet plaintiffs allege, or demonstrate that the NSA has not otherwise engaged in the alleged content dragnet, highly classified NSA intelligence sources and methods about the operation of the TSP and other NSA intelligence activities would be disclosed which would cause exceptional harm to national security. ²

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

26

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

2

3

5

7

9 10

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

232425

26 27

¹ (N) The term "content" is used herein to refer to the substance, meaning, or purport of a communication, as defined in 18 U.S.C.§ 2510(8).

² (L) The Government has publicly confirmed that, in addition to the "Terrorist Surveillance Program," other intelligence activities were authorized by the President after the 9/11 attacks in a single authorization that was periodically reauthorized. See Unclassified Inspector General Report on the President's Surveillance Program (10 July 2009) ("IG Rept.") at 5, available at www.dni.gov/files/documents/Newsroom/Reports and Pubs/report 071309.htm. However, those other intelligence activities remain highly classified, see Public IG Rept. at 5, and subject to the DNI privilege assertions. As the IG report also indicates, activities that were originally authorized by the President along with the TSP were subsequently authorized under orders issued by the FISC. See Public IG Rept. at 30. As a result of this transition, the final

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

52. (W) I am also asserting privilege over information concerning whether or not the NSA obtained from telecommunications companies such as AT&T and Verizon the complete and allegedly ongoing disclosure of private telephone and Internet transactional records of those companies' millions of customers. I concur with the NSA that confirmation or denial of any information concerning this allegation would cause exceptionally grave harm to national security, including by risking disclosure of whether or not the NSA utilizes particular intelligence sources and methods and, thus, the NSA's capabilities or lack thereof.

53. — (TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF)—In particular, as set forth in the Classified NSA

Declaration, the United States faced urgent and immediate intelligence challenges after the

September 11 attacks, and undertook signals intelligence activities pursuant to presidential
authorization that were designed to meet those challenges and to detect and prevent future
terrorist attacks by al-Qa'ida and its affiliates. Those activities include the TSP and similar
sources and methods of content surveillance that later became subject to FISA authority, as well
as the bulk collection of telephony and Internet non-content meta data that was also later
transitioned to FISA authority and used to discover contacts

See Classified NSA Declaration ¶¶ 27-51.

- 54. (U) Based on my personal consideration and judgment as to the harm disclosure would cause to national security, my privilege assertion includes, but is not limited to, the following activities discussed in the Classified NSA Declaration.
- 55. (TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) First, I assert privilege over facts concerning the operation of the TSP and any other NSA intelligence activities needed to demonstrate that the TSP was

presidential authorization for the Presidential Surveillance Program activities authorized after the 9/11 attacks expired on February 1, 2007. *Id.* at 30. To the extent plaintiffs' allegations seek to put at issue the nature of these other classified activities, they are encompassed by my privilege assertion in this litigation as well.

- TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN limited to the interception of one-end foreign communications reasonably believed to involve a 1 member or agent of al-Qa'ida or an affiliated terrorist organization, and that the NSA does not 2 3 otherwise conduct a dragnet of content surveillance as the plaintiffs allege. Such facts include 4 those concerning (1) how targets were selected under the TSP; (2) how specific methods were 5 used under the TSP to intercept telephone and Internet communications and to minimize the risk 6 of collecting non-target communications and purely domestic communications; (3) the nature 7 and identity of the targets under the TSP, (4) and additional 8 9 classified details about the operation of the TSP that would be necessary to litigate the plaintiffs' 10 allegations (to the extent relevant) including facts concerning the operational swiftness and agility of the TSP, particularly in conjunction with meta data analysis; 12 ; and the effectiveness and success of the TSP; 13 and (5) other NSA surveillance activities that may be needed to address and disprove the content 14 15 dragnet allegations, 16 See Classified NSA Declaration ¶¶ 63-73. In my judgment, revealing or 17 risking disclosure of the foregoing NSA intelligence activities, sources, and methods in order to 18 show that the NSA is not conducting the "dragnet" on the content of communications that 20 plaintiffs allege would cause exceptional harm to national security by disclosing to our adversaries the ability of the United States to monitor and track their activities and 22 communications. 23 56. (TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) Second, I also assert privilege over facts that would 24 disclose or describe the NSA's meta data collection activities. See Classified NSA Declaration 25 26 ¶¶ 74-83. In my judgment, the NSA is unable to disclose any information about the existence or 27 operation of the NSA's bulk collection or targeted analysis of Internet or telephony metadata 28 28 TOP SECRET//TSP/S /HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

11

19

21

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence

Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

s. In my judgment, after personal

4 5 6

7

8

9

11

12

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

60.

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI

-(TS//TSP/SI

/HCS//ORCON/NOFORN

30

Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Declaration of James R. Clapper, Director of National Intelligence Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al. (08-cv-4873-JSW)

D. (W) Plaintiffs' Allegations that Certain Telecommunications Carriers
Provided Assistance to the NSA with the Alleged Activities.

consideration of the matter, disclosing the information described herein and by the NSA would

compromise these critical activities, sources, and methods, thereby helping our adversaries evade

59. (N) In addition, I am asserting privilege over information that may tend to confirm or deny whether or not AT&T, Verizon, or to the extent necessary, any other particular telecommunications provider, has assisted the NSA with alleged intelligence activities. The disclosure of any information that would tend to confirm or deny allegations of such assistance would cause exceptionally grave harm to the national security. Confirming or denying such allegations, again, would reveal to foreign adversaries whether or not the NSA utilizes particular intelligence sources and methods and, thus, either compromise actual sources and methods or disclose that the NSA does not utilize a particular source or method. Such confirmation or denial would also replace speculation with certainty for hostile foreign adversaries who are balancing the risk that a particular channel of communication may not be secure against the need to communicate efficiently.

/OC/NF)

	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-	//HCS//ORCON/NOFOR	.11
	or is, any processing	en eller inter som an en eller e	er a combine a steps - ma
		•	
	S//TSP/SI	In addition, I have reviewed the classif	ied
certification	of then-Attorney General Muk	asey submitted to the Court pursuant to and assert privilege over specific inform	Section 8
described th		and assert brivilege over specific illion	nauUli
	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-	/HCS//ORCON/NOFOR	. N 3
		Clapper, Director of National Intelligence	

	TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-	//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN	
		* **	
			_
	Accordingly, I assert the state secre	ets and DNI statutory privilege over such	
	Accordingly, I assert the state secre	ets and DNI statutory privilege over such	
	Accordingly, I assert the state secre	ets and DNI statutory privilege over such	
64.	TO RISK C	ots and DNI statutory privilege over such OF LITIGATION V, I concur with the NSA that further litigation	on of
64.	(E) RISK (OF LITIGATION	
64.	(E) RISK C (TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) Finally will inherently risk the disclosure of	DF LITIGATION y, I concur with the NSA that further litigation	3
64. this case w	(TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) Finally will inherently risk the disclosure of the disclosure at the NSA is conducting a	OF LITIGATION 7, I concur with the NSA that further litigation highly classified activities. While plaintiffs	s proving
64. this case w wrongly al	(TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) Finally will inherently risk the disclosure of allege that the NSA is conducting a conspeculation would risk or require re-	OF LITIGATION 7, I concur with the NSA that further litigation in the highly classified activities. While plaintiffs the dragnet program of content surveillance, dispenses the content surveillance, dispenses the content surveillance in the content surveillance.	proving
this case w wrongly al plaintiffs' methods, i	(TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) Finally will inherently risk the disclosure of allege that the NSA is conducting a conspeculation would risk or require resincluding bulk metadata collection a	OF LITIGATION If y, I concur with the NSA that further litigation highly classified activities. While plaintiffs dragnet program of content surveillance, discovered in the NSA intelligence activities, sources	proving and
this case w wrongly al plaintiffs' methods, i	(TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) Finally will inherently risk the disclosure of allege that the NSA is conducting a conspeculation would risk or require resincluding bulk metadata collection a	OF LITIGATION If y, I concur with the NSA that further litigation highly classified activities. While plaintiffs dragnet program of content surveillance, discoveraling NSA intelligence activities, sources activities. Those vital activities, as described	proving and
this case w wrongly al plaintiffs' methods, i	(TS//TSP/SI//OC/NF) Finally will inherently risk the disclosure of allege that the NSA is conducting a conspeculation would risk or require resincluding bulk metadata collection a	OF LITIGATION If y, I concur with the NSA that further litigation highly classified activities. While plaintiffs dragnet program of content surveillance, discoveraling NSA intelligence activities, sources activities. Those vital activities, as described	proving and

them could have grave consequences for the national security.

1 2

(U) CONCLUSION

65. (U) In sum, I am asserting the state secrets privilege and the DNI's statutory privilege set forth in 50 U.S.C. § 403-1(i)(1) to protect the classified national security information described herein and in the Classified NSA Declaration. Moreover, because proceedings in this case risk disclosure of privileged and classified intelligence-related information, I respectfully request that the Court not only protect that information from disclosure, but take all steps necessary, including dismissal of this action, to protect the intelligence information, sources, and methods described herein in order to prevent exceptional harm to the national security of the United States.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATE: September <u>//</u>, 2012

AMES R. CLAPPER

Director of National Intelligence

TOP SECRET//TSP/SI-

//HCS//ORCON/NOFORN